

**ACADEMIC POLICIES COMMITTEE**

**April 16, 2021**

**Zoom Meeting from 1-2 p.m.**

Members Present: Keith Goyne (Chair), Cassandra Garcia, Robin Panneton,  
Susan Sumner, Keith Thompson

Members Absent: Kimberly Smith

Recorder: Arlice Banks, Executive Administrative Assistant, College of Natural Resources  
and Environment

*Keith Goyne, Chair, called the meeting to order at 1:01 p.m.*

I. Review and Vote Upon

- A. A note was added to the agenda that the last meeting would be Friday, April 30, instead of May 14. The April 16 meeting agenda, with the note added, was approved without modification.

II. Notifications

- A. Byron Hughes (Dean of Students) has requested that a meeting be held with the supporting campus entities after the next draft policy is prepared to help the different offices further understand the proposed policy.
- B. Harrison Blythe (Office of Equity and Accessibility) is on maternity leave.

III. New Business

**A. Feedback on draft policy—Title IX Coordinator**

- 1. Katie Polidoro (Title IX Coordinator) recommended that we remove “sexual harassment or discrimination” and replace it with “discrimination as defined in University Policies 1025 and 1026 (including sexual harassment and violence).”
- 2. When an official policy is implemented, Katie feels that legally we need to state that the Title IX coordinator can also grant relief. Therefore, “. . .and the Title IX Coordinator” was added to the list of campus entities listed in the second paragraph.
- 3. The Title IX Coordinator was added to the list of campus entities in Section 3.1.

**B. Feedback on draft policy—University Registrar**

- 1. In Section 2.0, several campus entities requested changes to reflect that they would determine whether a hold is placed on a student’s account if relief is granted.

2. Rick asked that Section 3.1 be condensed from an outline format in the previous draft. Language was also added, indicating that the process shall limit the sharing of medical, disability, and other private information to only the campus entity selected by the student to review their CR request.

**C. Academic Policies Committee Changes—Section 2.0**

1. A sentence was added in Section 2.0 indicating that AR can't occur after graduation.
2. It was agreed that campus entities would receive student self-assessment forms instead of the CR Committee. In addition, each entity would determine if a student's hold should be released, eliminating the need for forms to be routed to the associate deans.

**D. Academic Policies Committee Changes—Section 3.1**

1. The following language was added at the request from SSD, "shall gather additional information from the student and meet with them as needed."
2. Verbiage was added regarding the written assessment that includes a recommendation and the need for the five campus entities to decide the need to place a hold on an account.
3. Text was added to ensure that the recommendation from the campus entity to the CR Committee is not disclosed to the student.

**E. Academic Policies Committee Changes—Section 3.2**

1. This section was condensed to reflect one uniform process irrespective of whether or not the submission occurs within one year or after. The electronic form will include additional justification for requests filed after one year.

**F. Academic Policies Committee Changes—Section 3.3**

1. The words "or the committee chair's decision to not grant a full appeal (the latter is only relevant to appeals filed later than one year)" were removed to reflect one uniform process described in Section 3.2.

**G. Academic Policies Committee Changes—Section 3.4**

1. The language in this section was adjusted to reflect that it's the responsibility of the supporting campus entity to contact the Registrar to remove holds on student accounts.

IV. Adjourn

*The meeting was adjourned at 1:58 p.m.*